United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/563,032	05/11/2006	Roger Philip Sack	020216-000003 6060	
24239 7590 08/16/2007 MOORE & VAN ALLEN PLLC P.O. BOX 13706 Research Triangle Peak, NC 27700			EXAMINER	
			WACHSMAN, HAL D	
Research Triangle Park, NC 27709			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2857	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
	•		08/16/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
		,			
Office Action Summary	10/563,032	SACK ET AL.			
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit			
TL. MAN INC DATE of this committee in the	Hal D. Wachsman	2857			
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence address			
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DA - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 16(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tim vill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONEI	I. lely filed the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).			
Status					
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 30 De	ecember 2005.				
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This	This action is FINAL. 2b) This action is non-final.				
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is					
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.					
Disposition of Claims					
4) Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) 1-23 are subject to restriction and/or expressions.	vn from consideration.				
Application Papers		•			
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine 10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) access applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction of the output of of the	epted or b) objected to by the Edrawing(s) be held in abeyance. See ion is required if the drawing(s) is obj	e 37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).			
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119	· ·				
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of	s have been received. s have been received in Application ity documents have been received i (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on Noed in this National Stage			
	·				
Attachment(s)					
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date 	4) Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da 5) Notice of Informal P 6) Other:	nte			

Art Unit: 2857

Election/Restrictions

1. This application contains claims directed to the following patentably distinct species:

- I. The species to which claims 2-11 are drawn.
- II. The species to which claims 12-23 are drawn.
- 2. The species are independent or distinct because claims to the different species recite the mutually exclusive characteristics of such species. In addition, these species are not obvious variants of each other based on the current record.
- 3. If the species to which claims 2-11 are drawn is elected, further election of subspecies is required as follows:
 - i) The subspecies to which claim 3 is drawn.
 - ii) The subspecies to which claim 4 is drawn.
 - iii) The subspecies to which claims 5 and 6 are drawn.
 - iv) The subspecies to which claims 7 and 8 are drawn.
 - v) The subspecies to which claim 9 is drawn.
 - vi) The subspecies to which claim 10 is drawn.
 - vii) The subspecies to which claim 11 is drawn.
- 4. If the species to which claims 12-23 are drawn is elected, further election of subspecies is required as follows:
 - i) The subspecies to which claim 13 is drawn.

Page 2

Art Unit: 2857

ii) The subspecies to which claim 14 is drawn.

- iii) The subspecies to which claims 15-17 are drawn.
- iv) The subspecies to which claim 18 is drawn.
- v) The subspecies to which claims 19 and 20 are drawn.
- vi) The subspecies to which claims 21-23 are drawn.
- 5. The subspecies are independent or distinct because claims to the different subspecies recite the mutually exclusive characteristics of such subspecies. In addition, these subspecies are not obvious variants of each other based on the current record.

Page 3

- 6. Applicant is required under 35 U.S.C. 121 to elect a single disclosed species and subspecies for prosecution on the merits to which the claims shall be restricted if no generic claim is finally held to be allowable. Currently, claim 1 is generic to all species and subspecies and will be examined with whichever species and subspecies is elected.
- 7. There is an examination and search burden for these patentably distinct species and subspecies due to their mutually exclusive characteristics. The species and subspecies require a different field of search (e.g., searching different classes/subclasses or electronic resources, or employing different search queries); and/or the prior art applicable to one species and subspecies would not likely be applicable to another species and subspecies; and/or the species are likely to raise different non-prior art issues under 35 U.S.C. 101 and/or 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph.
- 8. Applicant is advised that a reply to this requirement to be complete must include (i) an election of a species and subspecies to be examined even though

Art Unit: 2857

the requirement may be traversed (37 C.F.R. 1.143) and (ii) identification of the claims encompassing the elected species and subspecies, including any claims subsequently added. An argument that a claim is allowable or that all claims are generic is considered nonresponsive unless accompanied by an election.

The election of the species and subspecies may be made with or without traverse. To preserve a right to petition, the election must be made with traverse. If the reply does not distinctly and specifically point out supposed errors in the election of species requirement, the election shall be treated as an election without traverse.

Traversal must be presented at the time of election in order to be considered timely.

Failure to timely traverse the requirement will result in the loss of right to petition under 37 C.F.R. 1.144. If claims are added after the election, applicant must indicate which of these claims are readable on the elected species.

Should applicant traverse on the ground that the species are not patentably distinct, applicant should submit evidence or identify such evidence now of record showing the species and subspecies to be obvious variants or clearly admit on the record that this is the case. In either instance, if the examiner finds one of the species unpatentable over the prior art, the evidence or admission may be used in a rejection under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) of the other species.

Upon the allowance of a generic claim, applicant will be entitled to consideration of claims to additional species which depend from or otherwise require all the limitations of an allowable generic claim as provided by 37 C.F.R. 1.141.

Art Unit: 2857

9. No telephone call was made to the Applicant to request a telephone call election due to the complexities of the issues at hand (see MPEP 812.01).

Page 5

- 10. Applicant is reminded that upon the cancellation of claims to a non-elected invention, the inventorship must be amended in compliance with 37 CFR 1.48(b) if one or more of the currently named inventors is no longer an inventor of at least one claim remaining in the application. Any amendment of inventorship must be accompanied by a request under 37 CFR 1.48(b) and by the fee required under 37 CFR 1.17(i).
- 11. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Hal D. Wachsman whose telephone number is 571-272-2225. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday to Friday 7:00 A.M. to 4:30 P.M..

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eliseo Ramos-Feliciano can be reached on 571-272-7925. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR.

Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only.

Art Unit: 2857

For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

Hal D. Wushin Hal D Wachsman Primary Examiner Art Unit 2857

HW August 11, 2007